# **Inorganic Chemistry**

# **Synthesis and Structural Characterization of a Tin Analogue of Allene**

Wing-Por Leung,[\\*](#page-1-0) Yuk-Chi Chan, and Thomas C. W. Mak

Department of Chemistry, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong, China

\***<sup>S</sup>** *Supporting Information*

ABSTRACT: The reaction of  $[MgC(PPh<sub>2</sub>=S)<sub>2</sub>(THF)]<sub>2</sub>$  $(1; THF = tetrahydrofuran)$  with 1 equiv of SnCl<sub>4</sub> in THF afforded a novel tin analogue of allene  $\left[\text{Sn}\right]\text{C}(\text{PPh}_2\right)$  $S_{2}$ ] (2). The structure of compound 2 has been characterized by X-ray crystallography and NMR spectroscopy.

Compounds containing a double bond between carbon and a heavier group 14 element  $>C=M<$   $(M = Si, Ge, and$ Sn) have attracted much attention in the past 2 decades.<sup>1a−e</sup> Moreover, group 14 compounds containing two metal−car[bon](#page-1-0) double bonds are scarcely found.<sup>2</sup> These types of compounds can be considered as the heavier [g](#page-1-0)roup 14 metal analogues of allene. These analogues include 1-metallaallene ( $>C=C=M<$ ) and 2-metallaallene ( $>C=M=C<$ ). It was believed that the thermal stability of  $M=C$  is intrinsically low, and it easily undergoes oligomerization. However, compounds containing the  $M=C$  bond can be kinetically stabilized by incorporating bulky substituents to both carbon and the heavier group 14 metal. Stable silylene > C=Si <,<sup>3a,b</sup> germene > C=Ge<, $^{4a,b}$  and stannene >C=Sn< $5a-e$  have b[een](#page-1-0) successfully synthesi[zed](#page-1-0) and characterized. Rec[ently](#page-1-0), So and co-workers reported the synthesis of a germanium bis(methanediide) complex, which can be considered as a derivative of 2-germaallene.<sup>6</sup> However, the heavier 1-stannaallene and 2-stannaallene [sti](#page-1-0)ll remain unknown. Previously, Escudié and co-workers reported the synthesis of a stable distannirane in which it was proposed to undergo salt elimination to produce a 1-stannaallene intermediate and  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 + 1 \end{bmatrix}$  cycloaddition between 1-stannaallene and stannylene.<sup>7</sup> Until now, there is no stable 1-stannallene or 2-stannaallene [th](#page-1-0)at can be isolated and characterized successfully.

In this Communication, we report the synthesis and characterization of a novel tin bis(methanediide) complex 2, which is the first example of 2-stannaallene.

Our group recently reported the synthesis of a magnesium methanediide complex from the reaction of neutral bis- (diphenylthiophosphinoyl)methane and *<sup>n</sup>* Bu2Mg, which can be used as a ligand-transfer reagent to synthesize some group 13 methanediide complexes. $8$  The reaction of 1 with 1 equiv of  $SnCl<sub>4</sub>$  afforded tin bis(met[ha](#page-1-0)nediide) complex  $[Sn{C(PPh<sub>2</sub> =$  $S$ <sub>2</sub>,  $[2;$  Scheme 1).

Compound 2 was isolated as yellow crystals, and it is soluble in tetrahydrofuran (THF),  $CH_2Cl_2$ , and toluene. It has been characterized by X-ray crystallography, NMR spectroscopy, and elemental analysis. The  $^1\mathrm{H}$  and  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR spectra of 2 displayed signals due to the phenyl groups. Though there is no  $^{13}$ C NMR signal for the carbenic carbon, the absence of methylenic



protons was confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. The absence of a <sup>13</sup>C NMR signal for the carbenic carbon was commomly found in bis(diphenyliminophosphinoyl)- and bis- (diphenylthiophosphinoyl)metal carbene complexes. Similar results have been reported in  $[(PPh_2= S)_2C=Pd(PPh_3)]^9$  and  $[(PPh<sub>2</sub>=S)<sub>2</sub>C=Ge=C(PPh<sub>2</sub>=S)<sub>2</sub>]$  $[(PPh<sub>2</sub>=S)<sub>2</sub>C=Ge=C(PPh<sub>2</sub>=S)<sub>2</sub>]$  $[(PPh<sub>2</sub>=S)<sub>2</sub>C=Ge=C(PPh<sub>2</sub>=S)<sub>2</sub>]$ .<sup>6</sup> The <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum at room temperature displayed [o](#page-1-0)ne signal at *δ* 28.1 with tin satellites  $({}^{2}J_{P-Sn}^{-119} = 30.0 \text{ Hz})$ , which is consistent with the solid-state structure. However, the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of 2 at −80 °C displayed three signals at *δ*27.0, 31.2, and 37.0. It is suggested that 2 undergoes some fluxional behavior in the solution state. We proposed that the structures I and II may exist in equilibrium in the solution state.



At room temperature (25 °C), the singlet at  $\delta$  28.1 is due to the rapid interconversion between structures I and II. At −80 °C, the fast interconversion between structures I and II slowed down, and both structures exist. A similar result for structure I has been found in the germanium bis(methanediide) complex, in which two ligands are bound to the germanium center in a spirocyclic fashion.<sup>6</sup> In the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of 2 at −80 °C, the signals at *δ* 27[.0](#page-1-0) and 31.2 correspond to the two different phosphorus nuclei in structure I, while the signal at *δ* 37.0 corresponds to the four equivalent phosphorus nuclei in structure II, which is slightly downfield from that in I. Integration of the  $31P$  NMR spectrum indicates that the signals are in a 1.0:1.0:1.0 ratio, which suggests that structures I and II are in a 2:1 equilibrium. The <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectrum of 2 at room temperature showed only one broad signal at  $\delta$  -401 ( $\nu_{1/2}$  = 165 Hz); no resolvable tin−phosphorus coupling could be

```
Received: August 29, 2011
Published: October 14, 2011
```
<span id="page-1-0"></span>observed. The 119Sn NMR spectrum of 2 at −80 °C displayed two signals at  $\delta$  −192 and −445, which indicates that there are two different tin environments present and it is consistent with the low-temperature <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum. In the <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectrum, the signal at  $\delta$  −192 corresponds to structure I, while the signal at  $\delta$  −445 corresponds to the more shielded tin atom in structure II. The <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR signal of 2 at  $\delta$  -401 is comparable to that in 1,3-distannacyclobutane in [2-{Sn{C-  $({}^{i}Pr_{2}P = NSiMe_{3})\}$ -6-{Sn{CH( ${}^{i}Pr_{2}P = NSiMe_{3}$ )}Cl}C<sub>S</sub>H<sub>3</sub>N]<sub>2</sub>  $(\delta$  -11.0),<sup>10</sup> 6-stannapentafulvene [(Tbt)(Mes)Sn=CR<sub>2</sub>]  $(Tbt = 2,4,6\text{-} \{CH(SiMe_3),\}C_6H_2$ , Mes = 2,4,6-Me<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, and  $CR_2$  = fluoroenylidene)  $(\delta^2 270)^{11}$  and  $[Sn=C(PPh_2=$  $\widetilde{\mathrm{NSiMe}_3}(\mathrm{PPh}_2=S)]_2$   $(\delta$  132.1), <sup>12</sup> which suggests that compound 2 exists as a tin(IV) bis(thiophosphinoyl) methanediide complex and does not undergo oligomerization in the solution state. The relatively upfield <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR signal indicates that the two carbon atoms may have some carbenic character.

The molecular structure of compound 2 is shown in Figure 1. There are two bidentate bis(thiophosphinoyl)methanediide



Figure 1. Molecular structure of 2 (30% ellipsoid probability). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): C1−Sn1 2.063(2), C1−P1 1.735(2), C1#1−P2 1.724(2), P1−S1 1.999(8), P2−S2 2.021(8), Sn1−S1 2.888(6), Sn1− S2 2.721(6); C1−Sn1−C1#1 171.1(1), S1−Sn1−S1#1 85.41(2), S2− Sn1−S2#1 95.77(3), C1−Sn1−S1 70.51(6), C1−Sn1−S2#1 73.51(6), S1−Sn1−S2 100.208(18), P1−C1−Sn1 108.13(10), P2#1−C1−Sn1 105.94(10), P2#1−C1−P1 140.58(13).

ligands bound to the tin center. The four sulfur atoms from the two bis(thiophosphinoyl)methanediide ligands coordinate to the tin center, and the tin center is hexacoordinate. The C1− Sn1 bond distance of  $2.063(2)$  Å is slightly longer than that in the theoretical calculation of the Sn−C distance of 1.999−2.005 Å for  $\text{[CH}_2=\text{Sn}=CH_2$ ].<sup>13</sup> It is comparable to the distance of 2.0245(4) Å for stannaethane  $\left[{\left\{ {{\left( {Me}_3Si} \right)_2CH} \right\}}_2Sn=C \{(B<sup>t</sup>Bu)<sub>2</sub>C(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>\})<sup>4a</sup>$  and 2.016(5) Å for 6-stannapentafulvene  $[(\text{Tbt})(\text{Mes})\text{Sn}=\text{CR}_2]$ .<sup>10</sup> The P−S and C−P bond distances in 2 suggest delocalization of *π* electrons from the conjugation of  $P=S$  and  $C=Sn$ , which slightly lengthens the Sn=C double-bond distance. Therefore, the Sn1-S1 [2.8882(6) Å] and Sn1−S2 [2.7212(6) Å] bonds show different bond distances. The C1−Sn1−C1#1 angle of  $171.12(11)$ <sup>o</sup> is much larger than those in the theoretical studies of  $\text{[CH}_2=\text{Sn}=CH_2\text{]}$  (134.3–142.0°) because there are two thiophosphinoyl substituents that coordinate to Sn1 at

distances of  $2.7216(6)$  and  $2.8882(6)$  Å. The Sn1 center is hexacoordinate, which makes the C1−Sn1−C1#1 angle almost linear. The sum of the angles at C1 in 2 is 354.6°, which is very close to those in the theoretical studies of  $[CH_2=Sn=CH_2]$  $(348.5-353.1°).$ <sup>12</sup>

In conclusion, the first example of a tin analogue of allene compound 2 has been synthesized by the reaction of 1 with 1 equiv of  $SnCl<sub>4</sub>$  in THF.

# ■ **ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

## **S** Supporting Information

X-ray crystallographic data in CIF format and detailed experimental procedures for complex 2. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at [http://pubs.acs.org.](http://pubs.acs.org)

# ■ **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

### **Corresponding Author**

\*E-mail: [kevinleung@cuhk.edu.hk](mailto:kevinleung@cuhk.edu.hk). Fax: +852 2603 5057.

# ■ **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from The Chinese University of Hong Kong (Direct Grant, Project ID 2060324).

#### ■ **REFERENCES**

(1) (a) Brook, A. G.; Brook, M. A. *Adv. Organomet. Chem. Rev.* 1996, *39*, 71. (b) Escudie, J.; Couret, C.; Ranaivonjatovo, H. ́ *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 1998, *178*, 565. (c) Chaubon, M. A.; Ranaivonjatovo, H.; Escudie,́ J.; Satge, J. ́ *Main Group Met. Chem.* 1996, *19*, 145. (d) Baines, K. M.; Stibbs, W. G. *Adv. Organomet. Chem.* 1996, *39*, 275. (e) Barrau, J.; Escudié, J.; Satgé, J. Chem. Rev. 1990, 90, 283.

(2) Escudie, J.; Ranaivonjatovo, H. ́ *Organometallics* 2007, *26*, 1542. (3) (a) Brook, A. G.; Nyburg, S. C.; Abdesaken, F.; Gutekunst, B.; Gutekunst, G.; Krishna, R.; Kallury, M. R.; Poon, Y. C.; Chang, Y.-M.; Wong-Ng, W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1982, *104*, 5667. (b) Wiberg, N.; Kim, C.-K. *Chem. Ber.* 1986, *119*, 2966.

(4) (a) Meyer, H.; Baum, G.; Massa, W.; Berndt, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1987, *26*, 798. (b) Lazraq, M.; Escudie, J.; Couret, C.; ́ Satgé, J.; Dräger, M.; Dammel, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1988, 27, 828.

(5) (a) Meyer, H.; Baum, G.; Massa, W.; Berger, S.; Berndt, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1987, *26*, 546. (b) Anselme, G.; Declercq, J.-P.; Dubourg, A.; Ranaivonjatovo, H.; Escudie, J.; Couret, C. ́ *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1993, *458*, 49. (c) Weidenbruch, M.; Kilian, H.; Stürmann, M.; Pohl, S.; Saak, W.; Marsmann, H.; Steiner, D.; Berndt, A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1997, *530*, 255. (d) Mizuhata, Y.; Sasamori, T.; Takeda, N.; Tokitoh, N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006, *128*, 1050. (e) Ghereg, D.; Ranaivonjatovo, H.; Saffon, N.; Gornitzka, H.; Escudie, J. ́ *Organometallics* 2009, *28*, 2294.

(6) Foo, C.; Lau, K.-C.; Yang, Y.-F.; So, C.-W. *Chem. Commun.* 2009, 6816.

(7) Baiget, L.; Ranaivonjatovo, H.; Escudie, J.; Gornitzka, H. ́ *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004, *126*, 11792.

(8) Leung, W.-P.; Wan, C.-L.; Mak, T. C. W. *Organometallics* 2010, *29*, 1622.

(9) Cantat, T.; Mezailles, N.; Ricard, L.; Jean, L.; Le Floch, P. ́ *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2004, *43*, 6382.

(10) Leung, W.-P.; Ip, Q. W.-Y.; Wong, S.-Y.; Mak, T. C. W. *Organometallics* 2003, *22*, 4604.

(11) Mizuhata, Y.; Takeda, N.; Sasamori, T.; Tokitoh, N. *Chem. Commun.* 2005, 5876.

(12) Guo, J.; Lau, K.-C.; Xi, H.-W.; Lim, K.-H.; So, C.-W. *Chem. Commun.* 2010, 1929.

(13) Sigal, N.; Apeloig, Y. *Organometallics* 2002, *21*, 5486.